

From Ekklesia to Institution

The Political and Structural Shift Under Constantine

Introduction: A Turning Point in History

When examining the development of what is now commonly called the “church,” it is essential to distinguish between the New Testament *ekklesia*—a gathered assembly of believers—and the later institutional structure that emerged under political and cultural influence.

Two historical events stand as pivotal markers in this transformation:

- The Edict of Milan
- The First Council of Nicaea

Together, these events represent a **progressive shift from a spiritual, decentralized assembly to a state-recognized and increasingly state-influenced institution.**

What follows traces that shift—politically, structurally, linguistically, and ultimately into modern expressions.

I. The Edict of Milan: The Political Doorway

The Edict of Milan, issued by Constantine the Great and Licinius, legalized Christianity and granted freedom of worship.

At a surface level, it accomplished:

- The end of persecution
- Legal recognition of Christians
- Restoration of confiscated property

Yet beneath this, a deeper change occurred:

the ekklesia became a recognized entity within the Roman legal system.

This marked the beginning of a new relationship—
not merely *tolerance*, but **interaction between state authority and the Christian assembly.**

II. From Assembly to Institution: The Beginning of Transformation

Prior to this, the ekklesia functioned as:

- decentralized gatherings
- locally governed assemblies
- spiritually unified without political structure

After legalization:

- property ownership became formalized
- gatherings became more fixed and visible
- leadership increasingly intersected with civic structures

This was not yet full institutional control—but it was the **necessary first step toward it.**

III. The Council of Nicaea: Structural Consolidation Under Imperial Authority

In 325 AD, Constantine convened the Council of Nicaea to resolve internal disputes and restore unity.

As documented in your source:

- Bishops were summoned across the empire
- The emperor provided resources and oversight
- The council was convened for the sake of **religious peace and civil order**

While theological discussion remained with bishops, the **framework was imperial**.

This represents a key transition:

- The ekklesia was no longer operating independently
 - The emperor functioned as a **unifying authority over doctrinal matters**
-

IV. The Role of Nicaea in Centralizing Authority

The outcomes reinforced structural development:

- A unified creed was established
- Dissenting views were condemned
- Clerical structure and jurisdiction were defined
- Rules governing leadership and conduct were formalized

This reflects a shift toward:

- uniformity
- hierarchy

- centralized governance

The ekklesia was no longer merely an assembly—it was becoming an **organized system**.

V. Language Matters: Ekklesia vs. Kyriakon

A crucial part of this transformation is linguistic.

Ekklesia (New Testament usage)

- Means: *assembly, gathering, called-out people*
- Emphasizes people, not place

Kyriakon (later development)

- Means: *“belonging to the Lord”* (often understood as “the Lord’s house”)
- Refers to a **place or institution**

Importantly:

□ *Kyriakon* appears only twice in the New Testament ([1 Corinthians 11:20](#); [Revelation 1:10](#)), and **not as a term for the assembly itself**

Yet over time, this term influenced the development of the English word “**church**.”

This reflects a shift:

What is often missed is how this shift occurred. The Greek word **κυριακός (kyriakos)**, meaning “belonging to the Lord,” did not originally refer to the assembly. However, over time, this term passed through later languages—especially into Germanic forms—and eventually became associated with a place of

worship. From this development, the English word “**church**” emerged, carrying with it a meaning that differs from the New Testament use of **ekklesia**.

☞ Simple Way to Understand the Difference

In the New Testament, believers did not “go to church” as it is commonly understood today.

Rather, **they were the assembly.**

The Greek word **ἐκκλησία (ekklesia)** refers to a gathering of people—a called-out assembly.

It emphasizes **people, not a place.**

By contrast, the word **κυριακός (kyriakos)** simply means “*belonging to the Lord*” and is only used in Scripture to describe things such as:

- “the Lord’s supper” (1 Corinthians 11:20)
- “the Lord’s day” (Revelation 1:10)

Notably, this word is **never used in the New Testament to describe the assembly itself.**

☐ **Ekklesia** = the people gathered

☐ **Kyriakos** = something belonging to the Lord

- From **people** → **place**
 - From **gathering** → **institution**
-

VI. A Later Echo: The Influence of King James on Translation

This pattern of authority influencing religious expression did not end with Constantine.

Centuries later, James VI and I commissioned what became known as the King James Bible.

Importantly, the translators were given instructions—including:

- To **retain traditional ecclesiastical terms**
- To avoid language that would disrupt existing structures

This included preserving the word “**church**” rather than translating *ekklesia* as “assembly.”

This does not mean the situation was identical to Constantine’s. However, the **operating principle shows a recognizable similarity:**

- Authority shaping how religious concepts are expressed
- Language being guided in a way that aligns with existing structures

In this sense, translation choices contributed to reinforcing the institutional understanding already developing.

VII. Modern Continuation: Institutional Structures and Legal Identity

The progression does not stop in history—it extends into the present.

Today, many religious organizations operate as:

- incorporated entities
- registered organizations under legal frameworks such as 501(c)(3)

While often presented as necessary, it is important to note:

- Religious bodies are **already recognized as tax-exempt by default under U.S. law**
- Formal incorporation is **not required**, but widely adopted

This introduces another layer:

- The assembly becomes a **legal entity defined by the state**
- Leadership operates within **regulatory frameworks**
- Financial and organizational structures align with **civil systems**

This reflects a continuation of the earlier pattern:

Recognition → Regulation → Integration

VIII. The Broader Trajectory (Brief Note)

While this paper focuses on structural and linguistic developments, it is worth noting that later theological systems—such as various prophetic frameworks—also developed within this broader historical environment.

These represent additional layers of interpretation that may warrant separate, detailed examination.

IX. The Combined Progression

This transformation can be summarized:

1. **Legalization** (Edict of Milan)
2. **Recognition** (state acknowledgment)
3. **Structural organization** (Nicaea)
4. **Linguistic reinforcement** (translation traditions)
5. **Institutional integration** (modern legal frameworks)

Each step builds upon the previous, forming a continuous trajectory.

Conclusion: Understanding the Shift

The transition from *ekklesia* to what is now commonly understood as “church” was not a single event, but a **progressive development across history**.

- The Edict of Milan introduced political recognition
- The Council of Nicaea established structural alignment
- Later translation decisions reinforced institutional language
- Modern systems continue to reflect legal and organizational integration

What began as a **spiritual assembly of believers** gradually took on the characteristics of an **institutional and legally defined body**.

Recognizing this progression allows the reader to carefully consider how:

- language shapes understanding
- structure influences practice
- and history impacts present-day assumptions

This is not merely a historical inquiry—it is an invitation to

examine how closely current expressions align with the original concept of the *ekklesia* as presented in Scripture.
