lp-disclosure

ARTICLE STATUS DISCLOSURE

A Note on the Status of Posted Articles

A statement concerning article review, research methodology, and the use of artificial intelligence.

Foreword

The published material on this website is currently undergoing a
systematic review, with careful attention being given to historical,
linguistic, biblical, and theological accuracy. Because this examination
is being conducted thoroughly and progressively, some articles have not
yet received a complete reassessment. Previously published material
should therefore not necessarily be understood as reflecting conclusions
reached through our current research and examination. Where further
investigation warrants clarification, correction, or revision, the
material will be updated accordingly.

Introduction

In an era characterized by the prevalence of machine-generated text, the capability to distinguish between human and

algorithmic output is essential. Due to the transparent disclosure practices of this site, the utilization of external AI detection tools remains unnecessary. This document delineates the specific role of artificial intelligence within the research and composition processes at Dare-To-Think.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence and Large Language Models (LLMs) are employed exclusively as auxiliary instruments. These tools assist in the correlation of biblical passages, historical data, linguistic evidence, and doctrinal records. Furthermore, they are utilized for the organization, formatting, and expression of material to ensure clarity and grammatical precision. This assistance is intended to render complex theological subjects accessible to readers across a diverse range of educational backgrounds, avoiding unnecessarily obscure or impenetrable academic jargon. AI also functions as a tool for locating, comparing, and presenting source material for rigorous examination.

Limitations and Governance

These technological instruments serve only as supplements to the research process; they are never granted status as arbiters of truth or authorities on doctrine. The theological conclusions presented herein must ultimately stand or fall according to the evidence of Holy Scripture alone, not according to generated output produced by an automated system.

The Berean Standard

The commitment maintained by Dare-To-Think aligns with the Berean standard—testing every assertion against the authority of Holy Scripture (Acts 17:11). Because AI-generated output inherently carries the risk of factual, logical, or theological error, and because human editorial oversight remains fallible, the reliance upon any single source, whether

human or machine, is insufficient.

The Standard of Examination

Scripture remains the final standard by which theological and doctrinal conclusions presented on this website are to be examined.

An Invitation to Examine the Evidence

Some conclusions presented on this website may differ from interpretations that readers have encountered or held for many years. Such differences are not presented merely for the sake of challenging established beliefs, nor is the reader asked to accept a conclusion simply because it appears here. Rather, readers are encouraged to examine the evidence itself—including the biblical context, the underlying Hebrew or Greek where relevant, **the meaning and usage of words in their historical setting, and the manner in which those words have been represented in English translation.**

Long familiarity with a particular interpretation can naturally influence how a passage is understood, especially when that interpretation has been encountered repeatedly through teaching, preaching, theological systems, or traditional terminology. Readers are therefore encouraged, as far as possible, to distinguish between what the biblical text actually says and what they may have previously understood it to mean.

Where linguistic, historical, and contextual evidence supports a particular understanding, that evidence deserves careful consideration whether it confirms or challenges a familiar interpretation. The same standard should be applied to conclusions presented on this website. The purpose is not to replace one set of assumptions with another, but to encourage careful examination of the evidence and to allow the biblical text, considered within its proper context, to bear the weight of the conclusion.

Continuing Review

As this review progresses, individual articles may be clarified, corrected, expanded, or revised where the evidence warrants. Readers are encouraged to examine the material in light of Holy Scripture and to independently consider the historical, linguistic, and documentary evidence presented. Concerns regarding the theological, historical, or factual accuracy of any material are welcomed and may be submitted for further investigation. This continuing assessment is part of Dare-To-Think’s commitment to careful inquiry, truth-seeking, and doctrinal fidelity.

← Return to Start Page