Why “Judeo-Christian” Is An Oxymoron!

‘Judeo-Christian’ Is An Oxymoron! – 4 Years Ago Written by: Andy



There is nothing Judeo about Christianity. Christianity and Judaism do not share a common value system. There is nothing Christian about Judaism. There is nothing Christian about the value system of Judaism. There is no connection whatsoever between Judaism and Christianity. Jesus constantly rebuked the Pharisees. Rabbi Maggal agrees when he says: “You will notice that a great difference exists between the Jewish and Christian religions…there is no such thing as a Judeo-Christian religion.” [5]

By the time of Jesus, the Hebrews had split into three sects: the Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes. The Jewish Virtual Library states:

> ***The most important of the three were the Pharisees because they are the spiritual***

> ***fathers of modern Judaism. Their main distinguishing characteristic was a belief in an Oral Law that God gave to Moses at Sinai along with the Torah.*** [1]

So, modern Judaism could better called 'Pharisaism'. The Jewish Encyclopedia adds clear air to the deception put upon us: "Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and thought of the Jew for all the future,"

Jesus stood strongly against Pharisaism. He was not criticising the Pharasees for following the 'old rules'. He was criticising them for not following the 'old rules'. Jesus devised a better set of rules wrapped up in his stories called parables. He constantly rebukes the Pharisees. He rebuked them, not because they would not follow his rules but because they would not follow the old rules. Here are just a few examples:

> *Woe to you, teachers of the law and Pharisees, you hypocrites! You shut the kingdom of heaven in men's faces. You yourselves do not enter, nor will you let those enter who are trying to. …*
> *"Woe to you, teachers of the law and Pharisees, you hypocrites! You travel over land and sea to win a single convert, and when he becomes one, you make him twice as much a son of hell as you are. …*
> *Woe to you, blind guides! You say, 'If anyone swears by the temple, it means nothing; but if anyone swears by the gold of the temple, he is bound by his oath.'* [2]

Rabbi Louis Finkelstein backs modern Judaisms origin as Pharisaism in his book 'The Pharisees, The Sociological Background of Their Faith:

> *Pharisaism became Talmudism, Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism. But throughout these changes in name . . . the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives, unaltered . . . From Palestine to Babylonia; from Babylonia to North Africa, Italy, Spain, France and Germany; from these to Poland, Russia, and eastern Europe generally, ancient Pharisaism has wandered . . . demonstrates the enduring importance which attaches to Pharisaism as a religious movement . . .”* [3]

The **Biblicism Institute** claims that Pharisaism is the pagan doctrine of the Pharisees of old, an evil creed they brought back from their Babylonian captivity. It does not follow the instructions of the Old Testament nor of the New Testament. Its central tenets are found in a book called the Talmud (the real Satanic Verses).

“The Babylonian Talmud is based on the mystical religious practices of the Babylonians which were assimilated by the Judahite Rabbis during their Babylonian captivity around 600 B.C.,” wrote Edward Hendrie in *Solving the Mystery of Babylon the Great*. “The Rabbis then used these occult traditions in place of the word of God.”

This is why Jesus constantly rebuked the Pharisees:

> *You belong to your father, the devil, and you want to carry out your father’s desires. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies.”* [6]

Gary North in 1990 informs:

> *The Judeo-Christian tradition is in fact a humanist construct without a single volume’s worth of primary source documentation. There is a reason for this ‘gap on the book*

shelf', a gap in history." [7]

Here, I give a **Judeo-Christian definition**: *Of or pertaining to the religious writings, beliefs, values, or traditions held in common by Judaism and Christianity.* (Dictionary.com)

There is nothing Christian about Judaism. There is a reason that Martin Luther wrote 'Jews and their lies.' There is a reason that Jews were hated for their usury. There is a reason that Jews were thrown out of numerous Christian and other nations. There is a reason that the Palestinians are desperate enough to commit suicide bombings.

Let us look at Judaism's books. The Rev. Pranaitis scrutinized the Talmud for passages referring to Jesus, Christians and the Christian faith. Here are some of his notes:

- Makkoth (7b) – Innocent of murder if intent was to kill Christian.
- Midrasch Talpioth 225 – Christians created to minister to Jews always.
- Kethuboth (110b). – Psalmist compares Christians to unclean beasts.
- Zohar (II, 64b) – Christian birth rate must be diminished materially.
- Chagigah, 15b – A Jew considered good in spite of sins he commits.
- Zohar (1,25b) – Those who do good to Christians never rise when dead.
- Babha Bathra (54b) – Christian property belongs to first person claiming.
- Choschen Ham(183,7) – Keep what Christian overpays in error.
- Choschen Ham(226,1) – Jew may keep lost property of Christian found by Jew.
- Babha Kama (113b) – It is permitted to deceive Christians.
- Choschen Ham(183,7) – Jews must divide what they overcharge Christians.
- Iore Dea (157,2) H – May deceive Christians that believe Christian tenets.
- Abhodah Zarah (54a) –Usury may be practiced upon Christians or apostates.
- Iore Dea (159,1) – Usury permitted now for any reason to Christians.
- Babha Kama (113a) – Jew may lie and perjure to condemn a Christian.
- Kallah (1b, p.18) – Jew may perjure himself with a clear conscience.
- Schabbouth Hag. (6d). – Jews may swear falsely by use of subterfuge wording.

- Zohar (1,160a). – Jews must always try to deceive Christians.
- Choschen Ham.(425,5) – Unless believes in Torah do not prevent his death.
- Hilkkoth Akum (X,1) – Do not save Christians in danger of death.
- Choschen Ham(386,10) – A spy may be killed even before he confesses.
- Abhodah Zorah (26b) – Apostates to be thrown into well, not rescued.
- Choschen Ham(388,15) – Kill those who give Israelites' money to Christians
- Hilkhoth Akum(X,2) – Baptized Jews are to be put to death
- Iore Dea(158,2)Hag. – Kill renegades who turn to Christian rituals.
- Choschen Ham(425,5) – Those who do not believe in Torah are to be killed.
- Zohar (I,219b) – Princes of Christians are idolators, must die.
- Abhodah Zarah(26b) T. – "Even the best of the Goim should be killed."
- Sepher Or Israel 177b – If Jew kills Christian commits no sin.
- Zohar (II, 43a) – Extermination of Christians necessary sacrifice.
- Zohar (L,28b,39a) – High place in heaven for those who kill idolators.
- Hilkhoth Akum(X,1) – Make no agreements and show no mercy to Christians
- Hilkhoth Akum (X,1) – Either turn them away from their idols or kill.
- Hilkhoth Akum (X,7) – Allow no idolators to remain where Jews are strong.
- Choschen Ham(388,16) – All contribute to expense of killing traitor.
- Pesachim (49b) – No need of prayers while beheading on Sabbath. [4]

Besides supplying the names by which Christians are called in the Talmud, the passages quoted below indicate what kind of people the Talmud pictures the Christians to be, and what the Talmud says about the religious worship of Christians:

***Benjamin H. Freedman** tell us in 'The Talmud on Christians. Facts are Facts'*

> *Another word is creating more problems among Christians. I refer to "Judeo-Christian". You see it more and more day by day. Based on our present knowledge of history, and on good sense applied to theology, the term "Judea-Christian" presents a strange combination. Does "Judeo" refer to ancient "Pharisaism", or to "Talmudism", or to so-called "Judaism"? In view of what we know today, how can there be*

"Judeo-Christian" anything? Based upon what is now known "Judeo-Christian" is as unrealistic as it would be to say anything is "hot-cold" , or "old-young", or "heavy-light", or that a person was "healthy-sick", or "poor-rich", or "dumb- smart", or "ignorant-educated", or "happy-sad". These words are antonyms, not synonyms. "Judeo-Christian" in the light of incontestable facts are also antonyms, not synonyms as so-called or self-styled "Jews" would like Christians to believe. More sand for Christian's eyes.

An "Institute of Judeo-Christian Studies" has been established by Seton Hall University. It is actually a "one-man Institute". Father John M. Oesterreicher is the "one-man Institute". The "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies" occupies a small office in a down-town office building in Newark, N. J. This "one-man Institute", according to their literature, has no faculty except Father Oesterreicher, and no students. Father Oesterreicher was born a so- called or self-styled "Jew" and became a convert to Catholicism. I have had the pleasure of hearing him talk on many occasions. Addresses by Father Oesterreicher and literature by mail are the principal activities of the "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies". Father Oesterreicher also plans to publish books and circulate them throughout the world, in large quantities.

Father Oesterreicher leaves no stones unturned to convince Catholics that "Judaeo-Christian" is a combination of two words that are synonyms theologically. Nothing could be further from the truth. Father Oesterreicher impresses that viewpoint upon his Catholic audiences. Father Oesterreicher talks to Catholic audiences only, so far as I am able to tell. In his addresses Father Oesterreicher impresses upon Catholics the opinion he personally holds on the question of the dependence of the Christian faith upon so-called "Judaism". His audiences depart Father Osterreicher's addresses very much confused.

It would make better Catholics out of Father Oesterreicher's audiences if he would "sell" Jesus and the Catholic Church rather than try to "sell" so-called "Judaism" to his audiences. Well-planned and well-financed publicity by so-called or self-styled "Jews" manages to keep Christians well informed on the subject of so-called "Judaism". If Father Oesterreicher would concentrate upon "selling" Jesus and the Christian faith to audiences of so-called or self-styled "Jews" he would be doing more towards realizing the objectives of Christian effort. The activities of this "one-man Institute" are somewhat of a deep mystery. But I am certain that Monsignor McNulty will never allow the "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies" to bring discredit upon the fine record of Seton Hall as one of the foremost Catholic universities anywhere. But it will bear watching, and Monsignor McNulty will always appreciate constructive comment.

The word "anti-Semitism" is another word which should be eliminated from the English language. "Anti-Semitism" serves only one purpose today. It is used as a "smear word". When so-called or self-styled "Jews" feel that anyone opposes any of their objectives they discredit their victims by applying the word "anti-Semite" or "anti-Semitic" through all the channels they have at their command and under their control. I can speak with great authority on that subject. Because so-called or self-styled "Jews" were unable to disprove my public statements in 1946 with regard to the situation in Palestine, they spent millions of dollars to "smear" me as an "anti-Semite" hoping thereby to discredit me in the eyes of the public who were very much interested in what I had to say. Until 1946 I was a "little saint" to all so-called or self-styled "Jews". When I disagreed with them publicly on the Zionist intentions in Palestine I became suddenly "Anti-Semite No. 1".

It is disgraceful to watch the Christian clergy take up the use of the word "anti-Semitism". They should know better. They know that "anti-Semitism" is a meaningless word in the sense it is used today. They know the correct word is "Judaeophobe". "Anti- Semite" was developed into the "smear-word" it is today because "Semite" is associated with Jesus in the minds of Christians. Christians are accessories in the destruction of the Christian faith by tolerating the use of the smear-word "anti-Semitic" to silence by the most intolerant forms of persecution employing that smear word Christians who oppose the evil conspirators.

It no doubt grieves you as much as it grieves me, my dear Dr. Goldstein, to see our nation's moral standards sink to new all- time lows day by day. Of that there is very little doubt. The moral standards of this nation in political, economic, social and spiritual fields are the factors which determine the position we will occupy in world affairs. We will be judged on that basis from afar by the other 94% of the world's total population. Our 6% of the world's total population will succeed or fail in its efforts to retain world leadership by our moral standards because in the last analysis they influence the attitudes and activities of the nation. The moral standards are the crucible in which the nation's character is refined and molded. The end product will never be any better than the ingredients used. It is something to think about.

The Talmud on Christians. Facts are Facts by: Benjamin H. Freedman

[1] https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/pharisees-sadducees-and-essenes
[2] Matthew 23
[3] Rabbi Louis Finkelstein in his book 'The Pharisees, The

Sociological Background of Their Faith’
[4] ‘The Talmud on Christians. Facts are Facts’ by: Benjamin H. Freedman https://www.catholicapologetics.info/apologetics/judaism/facts.htm
[5] Rabbi Maggal, National Jewish Information Service, August 21, 1961
[6] John 8:44
[7] The Judeo-Christian tradition: A Guide for the Perplexed, by Gary North (1990)